

Original Article

Relationship Between Rate of Access to Resources and Guidelines of Health Information and Quality of Life in Women Referring to Hospitals in Qom in 2016

Dargahi H¹, Rahbar A², Zolfagharinia AR³, Gharlipour Z^{4*}, Ramezani T⁴, Kazazlou Z²

- 1. Department of Health Care Management, Faculty of Allied Medical Sciences, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
- 2. Department of Public Health, Faculty of Health, Qom University of Medical Sciences, Qom, Iran
- 3. Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medical Science, Qom Islamic Azad University, Qom, Iran
- 4. Department of Health Education and Promotion, Faculty of Health, Qom University of Medical Sciences, Qom, Iran

Received: 02 Jul 2019

Accepted: 15 Sep 2019

Abstract

Background & Objectives: In Iran, few studies have been conducted on health information sources. The aim of this study was to determine the relationship between rate of access to resources and guidelines of health information and quality of life in women referring to hospitals in Qom city in 2016.

Materials & Methods: This cross-sectional descriptive-analytical study was performed on 254 women referring to hospitals in the city of Qom in 2016. The subjects were selected via multi-stage sampling method. Data were collected by a researcher-made questionnaire on sources and guidelines of health information and the valid Quality of Life Questionnaire. The collected data were entered into SPSS V.20 software and were analyzed using Chi-square, ANOVA, and Pearson correlation coefficient tests.

Results: There was a significant negative correlation between the quality of life score and the use of the resources and guidelines of health information (r=-0.14, p=0.02), as with increasing the use of the resources and guidelines of health information, the score of quality of life of the subjects reduced. In addition, there was a significant relationship between educational level and type of the resources and guidelines of health information (p<0.02) so that, the people with university education were more likely to use more self-resources and mass media to obtain health information.

Conclusion: Given the significant relationship between quality of life and the use of the resources and guidelines of health information, people should be properly informed about and familiarized with the reliable sources and guidelines of health information that are suitable for their conditions and levels of literacy.

Keywords: Quality of Life, Rate of Access, Health Information, Guidelines

Introduction

Medical societies' growing attention to selfcare (1,2) and leading clients to autonomy and knowing their own health rights (3), has

*Corresponding Author: Gharlipour Zabihollah, Department of Health Education and Promotion, Faculty of Health, Qom University of Medical Sciences, Qom, Iran.

Email: gharlipourz@yahoo.com https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4018-2438 increased the importance and necessity of their empowerment in the field of getting health information (4). To make sure of accessing reliable information in health area, it is required that we make sure of the importance of this information and the way of achieving it (5). Searching for health information through helping people to understand health threats and its challenges not only promotes the adaptation with



diseases and the ability to make medical decisions (6,7), but also leads to more effective interactions with health-care attendants, lower stress level, access to lifestyle-related habits (8), lower anxiety, and negative feelings (9). Several studies have introduced media including TV educational programs, books, and magazines as health information achieving media (10-12).

There are so different information sources used by different groups that people with low and average socio-economic status mostly used the TV, and the educated group mostly use the internet as the information resource to receive information about health and prevention (13). Recommended sources to search for health information are affected by cultural and socialindividual factors such as age, gender, ethnicity, education, income, informational literacy and health literacy, health status, and different stages of a disease (14-17). Not many studies are reported according searching to information but radio and television, internet, and health-care attendants are stated the most usual sources for searching health information through general population of the city of Tehran, respectively (18). Regarding Iranians' lack of familiarity with English, low information and health literacy, lack of internet access, and reliable Persian sources that are able to present information to people in simple words and practically, and regarding the high work load of health-care attendants, lack of the ability to get clients, insufficient along with clinical observation, low motivation among health-care attendants, lack of information individuality, and special religio-cultural theme, it seems that Iranian clients follow different models to look for health information (19).

According to studies results, women are active health information seekers (20-22), and pay more attention and sensitivity to their health and take more preventive actions than men (23). Therefore, doing health-care for members, plays a key role in determining the family life-style (22). People's quality of life is affected by their social right, mental status, and health life, thus presenting proper information and promoting information level in the field of health can be helpful for improving people's lives (24). Quality of life literally means how to live. Quality of life is unique for every single person and different from others, however (25). Quality of life involves different aspects of health and physical, mental, and social comfort (26). In fact, health-related quality of life mentally evaluates individual's current health status, health care, and health-promoting activities that declare their lives' precious goals (27). Based on this, this study is designed aiming at determining health information sources and guideline and its relationship with quality of life in women referring to Qom hospitals to help health-care attendants present information based on Iranian women's needs regarding health information sources with a clearer understanding of their health information sources, and, as a result, achieving favorable health consequences is facilitated and quality of life gets better.

Materials & Methods

This is a descriptive-analytical, cross-sectional study on women referring to Ayatollah Golpayegani and Imam Reza hospitals in Qom, during a year, in 2016. The sampling was carried out in a multi-stage sampling method, in which educational hospitals were initially listed on paper. Then, Ayatollah Golpayegani and Imam Reza hospitals were selected from 10 hospitals in a simple accidental way and then the samples were randomly selected from these two hospitals. Afterwards, 254 women were selected using sample volume formula based on Meybodi (28) study with a variance of 14.14 and error of 0.05 and accuracy of 2 and accounting 25% of sample drop out of the women referring to these two hospitals. This study inclusion criteria included clients who needed outpatient services, as well as full and informed consent to participate in the study, and exclusion criteria included having any chronic disease and having history of psychiatric diseases, pregnancy, and immunosuppressive medication use.

After coordinating with Qom Azad University and hospitals, the questionnaire was distributed. During the data collection process, the objective of the research was explained to the participants, consent was obtained, and the collected data were kept confidential.

Data were collected in this study through two questionnaires (a researcher-made questionnaire regarding health information sources and guideline, and WHO standard questionnaire of quality of life). The researcher-made questionnaire included two parts; the first part was about demographic information involving 6 questions (age, job, educational status, number of children, history of disease and insurance). The second part was about health information sources



and guideline (using health-care staff consisting health experts, physicians, midwives...) including 5 questions, using social media including 5 questions, using culture and religion including 6 questions, using mass media including 5 questions, using self-information including 2 questions. The validity of the questionnaire was assessed through Cronbach's alpha coefficient test (α =0.86) and its reliability was confirmed through experts' opinions panel. The second questionnaire was the WHO Quality of Life Questionnaire (WHOQOL-BREF) was used to measure health related quality of life. This questionnaire has been valid and reliable in Iran (29) and has 26 questions that assess the quality of life in four domains: physical health (7 questions), mental health (6 questions), social relations (3 questions) and environmental health (8 questions) on a 5-point Likert scale. Questions 1 and 2 are also general questions from a person regarding their personal assessment of their quality of life and satisfaction with their health. Questions 3, 4 and 26 are scored reversely. Scores were calculated according to the World Health Organization (WHO) standard score (30), from 0 to 100 for each domain. A higher score on this questionnaire indicates a better quality of life.

It should be noted that the questionnaires were distributed among the samples before providing health services. Data were analyzed through SPSS V.20 software using Chi-square, ANOVA and Pearson correlation coefficient tests with the significance level of 0.05.

Results

Results showed that mean and standard deviation of the subjects' age was 34.28±11.04. Subjects were mostly housewives (45.6%), having 3 or fewer children (89.2%), and having

university degrees (39.8%). Regarding the rate of using health information sources and guideline, health-care staff, and self-resourcing with 99.6% and 80.3% were the first and the last priorities, respectively. 92.4% of the subjects had insurance and 7.6% did not, also 60.5% of them had no disease history in contrary to 33.1%. Generally, mean and SD of quality of life score in the study group was 45.98± 12.68, and mean of the scores of physical and psychological micro scales were 20.95± 8.3, and 53.7 ±15.03, and social and environmental relationships were 52.22±15.53, 56.20±97.87 respectively.

In checking the relationship between the rate of using health information sources and guideline, Pearson correlation test showed that there was a significant relationship between age, and using health centers staff, self-resourcing (selfexploring, the sixth sense, previous experience), and using social media (p<0.05), so that with increasing of age, the rate of using social media and self-resourcing as health information sources and guideline increases, but the rate of using health centers staff as health information sources and guideline decreases, while there was no significant relationship between the rate of using health information sources and guideline and variables such as job and the number of children (p>0.05).

Pearson correlation test showed that there was a significant negative correlation between quality of life and health information sources and guideline plus the number of children (p<0.05), so that with increasing the use of health information sources and guideline and the number of children, the score of quality of life decreases [Table 1].

ANOVA test showed that there was a significant relationship between educational status and quality of life (p<0.05), so educated

Table 1. Correlation between quality of life score with use of health information resources and guideline, age and number of children

	Variables	Mean	SD	P
	Resources and Health Information guideline 14.02	3.09	p=0.02 r=-0.14	
Quality of life	Age	34.28	11.04	p=0.07 r=-0.11
	Number of children	1.69	1.55	p=0.04 r=-0.13



people had better quality of life, but no significant relation was found between job and quality of life (p>0.05). [Table 2].

Chi-square test showed that a significant relation between educational status and health information sources and guideline except culture and religion (p<0.05), so that in people with elementary-intermediate education the use of

two sources of health-care staff and social media was more. Also, it showed that people with university degrees mostly used self-resourcing and mass media to achieve health information [Table 3].

Chi-square test showed that among health information sources and guideline there was only a significant relation between mass media and

Table 2. Relationship between Quality of life with job and educational status

	Variables		Mean	SD	P	
Quality of life	Job	Housewife	44.98 13.22			
		Employee	47.53	12.83	>0.05	
		Other	45.97	11.73		
		Illiterate	43.86	12.56		
	Educational status	Elementary-Intermediate	42.52	13.31	< 0.05	
	Educational Status	Diploma	Diploma 45.75 12.27		<0.03	
		Academic	48.45	12.37		

Table 3. Relationship between the use of health information resources and guideline with educational status

Variables		Prevalence (%)	P	
	Illiterate	27 (10.88)	<0.001	
Health centers staff	Elementary- Intermediate	98 (39.51)		
	Diploma	68 (27.41)		
	Academic	55 (22.17)		
	Illiterate	100 (40)		
Social networks (peers, daily social interactions)	Elementary- Intermediate	68 (27.2)	0.03	
(r)	Diploma	55 (22)		
	Academic	27 (10.8)		
	Illiterate	98 (40.32)	0.52	
Culture and Religion (Experiences of elders,	Elementary- Intermediate	52 (21.39)		
Traditional Medicine, Religious Teachings)	Diploma	66 (27.16)		
	Academic	27 (11.11)		
	Illiterate	54 (21.95)	<0.001	
Mass media (electronic media, printed media and	Elementary- Intermediate	26 (10.97)		
digital media)	Diploma	68 (27.64)	10.001	
	Academic	97 (39.43)		
	Illiterate	55 (22)	<0.001	
Self-resourcing (self-exploring, the sixth sense, and	Elementary- Intermediate	68 (27.2)		
previous history)	Diploma	27 (10.8)		
	Academic	100 (40)		



history of disease (p<0.05), so that people with no history of disease are more likely to choose mass media to achieve health information [Table 4]. for people and unfavorably affects their quality of life. Nevertheless, it is highly related to people's life conditions and the sort of their available resources. In line with this, a study by

Table 4. Relationship between the use of resources and guideline to health information with history of disease

Va	nriables	Mean	SD	Prevalence (%)	P	
Health centers staff	With history of disease	3.69	1.27	84 (33.6)	P=0.19	
	Without history of disease	3.46	1.27	166 (66.4)	t=1.29	
Social networks	With history of disease	2.95	1.49	84 (33.3)	P=0.22	
	Without history of disease	2.71	1.42	168 (66.6)	t=1.23	
Culture and Religion	With history of disease	3.36	1.46	83 (33.87)	P=0.57	
	Without history of disease	3.24	1.54	162 (66.12)	t=0.55	
Mass media	With history of disease	2.75	1.51	84 (33.87)	P=0.008 t=2.69	
	Without history of disease	3.24	1.29	162 (65.85)		
Self-resourcing	With history of disease	1.32	0.77	84 (33.3)	P=0.69	
	Without history of disease	1.27	0.78	168 (66.6)	t=0.40	

Discussion

This study results showed that the score of quality of life among all the participants was lower than average and the highest achieved score in this field belonged to physical health. A study by Ghasemi et.al. showed that the average of quality of life among Kermanshah rural women in physical, mental, social, and environmental aspects were (61.55, 53.22, 60.58, 47.94), respectively (31). A study by Kaldi et.al. showed a favorable quality of life (113.05) and the highest score was for the physical area, this contrast might be due to the target population (32).

Furthermore, this study results showed a negative significant correlation between health information sources and guideline and quality of life and this relationship states that the increase in using health information sources and guideline leads to the decrease in people's quality of life. This is likely due to the increase in information resources without effective education and interaction that leads to more stress and anxiety

Heidari et.al. showed that not considering quality of life, leads to frustration, lack of motivation for any effort, and the reduction of social, economic, cultural and health activities; in other words, the promotion of quality of life is in line with the health promotion (33).

Health information results in self-care, so increasing awareness, knowledge, motivation, self-esteem, self-effectiveness, self-control, and preventive behaviors and in other words empowering people leads to health and promoting quality of life (4), this relationship between quality of life and people empowerment has been tested and confirmed in different studies (5,33).

In this study, there was a significant relationship between quality of life and the following variables: educational status, age, and the number of children. Studies by Rimaz et.al. (34) and Ghasemi et.al. also showed a significant relationship between age, educational status, having the history of disease or having it



currently and the quality of life (31). A study by Saeid Pour (26) and Ghamari (27), showed results similar to the current study. But the results of a study by Namdar et.al. showed no significant relationship between the average of quality of life and educational status, economic situation, employment status, history of diseases, the number of children, and the disease duration (35). These differences are probably due to different places of conducting the study and different survey methods. Further, in this study there was a significant relationship between social media and the number of children, age and health-care plus self-resourcing (self-exploring, the sixth sense, and previous history), education and all health information sources and guideline except culture and religion. The same significant relationship between demographic information and the sort of selected resources by participants is also seen in studies by Kahouie (36) and Okhovati (37), but in the results of some studies including a study by Meybodi in Kerman (28), this relationship was not observed, while the results of a study by Hesse et.al. with the title of "Health Information Resources and Reliability: the effect of internet and its effect on health-care presenters" showed a significant difference between the subjects' age and using internet, TV, and magazine (38).

Also a study by Shakouri Monfared showed that people with bachelor and master degrees prefer using printed media (12), while a study by Lam (39), educated people tend to use on-line resources. Also in a study by Esmaeilzadeh et.al. the most important sources to obtain health information were Internet and virtual social media (40). However, in this study, people with university degrees, preferred mass media (including printed sources, electronic and digital media), and self-resourcing, and illiterate people referred to resources including health centers staff, social media, and culture and religion.

In this study, health-care staff were the mostly used health information guidelines and afterwards were culture and religion, mass media, and self-resourcing, respectively. It is a tradition in some places to refer to health-care staff. The results of a study by Yoo-Lee (41) and Warner (22), introduced on-line resources and friends plus family as the most practical health resources and guideline. A study by Riahi et.al. showed that family and friends were the most important source of access to information to them (42). Generally, resources for achieving

health information are different in various studies and related to the group's socio-economic level. For example, in the field of health-care, the staff are selected as the prior guideline for achieving health information (10,43). The reverse is observed in some studies like Sharami's, though, in such a manner that midwives and physicians usually considered as the most reliable information sources for contraception were the third priority (%11.7) (44). Studies by Dart and Okhovati also showed the effects of education, the subjects' social and economic level on the information resource in such a manner that unlike people with university degrees, people with average and low education level, referred less to the internet (13,37). Therefore, regarding the ruling conditions, and the rate of people's access to the sort of health information sources and guideline in different environments, it would most likely be effective in selecting the type of information source. This study strengths included recognizing health information sources and guideline and the rate of using each among women, the relationship between each source with quality of life rate and determining some health information sources and guideline that received less attention from the area of health so far. The limitations of this study included inability to check all educational and noneducational hospitals, women out of the hospitals, cause and effect and self-reporting of the study tools.

Conclusions

Today, people's participation in care activities is not only a legal right, but also a gold international standard for health-care systems and care and service presenters must try to achieve this goal. Creating desire in people to use available information sources considering different groups of people in terms of quantity and quality is an important thing in this line. With regard to the significant relationship between life quality and health information sources and guideline, people must become familiar with reliable scientific sources related to health and use them correctly.

Regarding the development of technology and health information sources and guideline availability, this can be potentially used to promote health-care level and also help patients cure and increase their life quality. Furthermore, recognizing health information sources and guideline can control the creation of sources for



wrong beliefs and information in the area of health.

Acknowledgments

This study was from a research project under approval number 371877 and the authors sincerely thank Research Assistance of Azad University of Qom and all the women who participated in the study.

Conflict of Interests

The authors announce no conflict of interest.

Reference

- 1. Evans-Hudnall GL, Stanley MA, Clark AN, Bush AL, Resnicow K, Liu Y, et al. Improving secondary stroke self-care among underserved ethnic minority individuals: a randomized clinical trial of a pilot intervention. Journal of Behavioral Medicine 2014; 37(2): 196-204.
- 2. Skinner TC, Bruce DG, Davis TM, Davis WA. Personality traits, self-care behaviours and glycaemic control in type 2 diabetes: The Fremantle diabetes study phase II. Diabetic Medicine 2014; 31(4): 487-92.
- 3. Martinez Otero JM. Patients' autonomy and right to the information: from the recognition of rights, to the loss of confidence. Reflections on the new regulation about patients' rights at the end of life. Cuadernos de Bioética 2012; 23(77): 151-67.
- 4. Allahyari A, Alhany F, Kazemnejad A, Izadyar M. The effect of Family-Centered Empowerment Model On the Quality of life of School-Age B-Thalassemic Children. Iranian Journal of Pediatrics 2006; 16(4): 455-61.
- 5. Rasouli D, Mohammadpour Y, Safaie Z, Jafarizadeh H. The Effect of two Methods of Self-Empowerment Training Program On Quality of Life of Diabetic Patients Attending the Diabetes Clinic in URMIA University of Medical Sciences, 2013. Journal of Nursing and Midwifery Urmia University of Medical Sciences 2016;14(5): 389-396. [In persian]
- 6. Radina ME, Ginter AC, Brandt J, Swaney J, Longo DR. Breast cancer patients' use of health information in decision making and coping. Cancer Nursing 2011; 34(5): E1-12.
- 7. Wang W, Yu N. Coping with a new health culture: acculturation and online health information seeking among Chinese immigrants

- in the United States. Journal of immigrant and minority health 2015; 17(5): 1427-35.
- 8. Earl M, Oelschlegel S, Breece A. Impact of a consumer and patient health information service on user satisfaction, attitudes, and patient-health care professional interactions. Journal of Consumer Health on the Internet 2012; 16(2): 192-212.
- 9. Youash S, Campbell MK, Avison W, Penava D, Sharma V, Xie B. Influence of health information levels on postpartum depression. Archives of Women's Mental Health 2013; 16(6): 489-98.
- 10. Rogalska J, Augustynowicz E, Gzyl A, Stefanoff P. Sources of information and knowledge on childhood immunisations among Polish parents. Przeglad epidemiologiczny 2010; 64(1): 83-90.
- 11. Razavi Toosi M, Azar Homayoun R, Yahak S, Gholamipour I. Making health and medical messages in the media and its impact on people's awareness. Journal of Biological Ethics 2013; 3(8): 43-77.[In persian]
- 12. Shakouri Monfared H. A study of rate and knowledge of the users of information resources, at Iran, Tehran and Shahid Beheshti University. Teb Va Tazkiyeh 2005;14(1):42-8.[In persian]
- 13. Dart J, Gallois C, Yellowlees P. Community Health Information Sources-A Survey in Three Disparate Communities. Australian Health Review 2008; 32(1):186-96.
- 14. Le T, Chaudhuri S, White C, Thompson H, Demiris G. Trust in health information sources differs between young/middle and oldest old. American Journal of Health Promotion 2014; 28(4): 239-41.
- 15. Chan YM. Trust and health information seeking behavior: results from the 2012 health information national trends survey. International Journal of Health Sciences and Research 2012; 2(7): 70-8.
- 16. Percheski C, Hargittai E. Health information-seeking in the digital age. Journal of American College Health 2011; 59(5): 379-86.
- 17. Yi YJ, Stvilia B, Mon L. Cultural influences on seeking quality health information: An exploratory study of the Korean community. Library and Information Science Research 2012; 34(1): 45-54.
- 18. Alishahi-Tabriz A, Sohrabi MR, Kiapour N, Faramarzi N. Addressing the changing sources of health information in Iran. Intonal Journal of Preventive Medicine 2013; 4(1): 33-41.



- 19. Sabzevari S, Nikbakht Nasrabadi A, Negahban Bonabi T. Sources of Women's Health Information: A Qualitative Study. Journal of Research in Health Sciences 2015; 3(4): 349-62. 20. Wang M, Viswanath K, Lam T, Wang X, Chan S. Social determinants of health information seeking among Chinese adults in Hong Kong. PLoS One 2013; 8(8): e73049.
- 21. Shieh C, Broome ME, Stump TE. Factors associated with health information-seeking in low-income pregnant women. Women Health 2010; 50(5): 426-42.
- 22. Warner D, Procaccino JD. Toward wellness: Women seeking health information. Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology 2004; 55(8): 709-30.
- 23. Reczek C, Umberson D. Gender, health behavior, and intimate relationships: lesbian, gay, and straight contexts. Social Science & Medicine 2012; 74(11): 1783-90.
- 24. Khodabakhshi-Koolaee A, Bahari M, Falsafinejad M R, Shahdadi H. The Relationship of Quality of Life with Health Literacy in Male Patients with Type II Diabetes: A Cross-sectional Study in HARSIN City, 2015. Journal of Diabetes Nursing 2016; 4 (4):10-20.
- 25. Salehi F, Ahmadian L, Ansari R, Sabahi A. The Role of Information Resources Used by Diabetic Patients On the Management of Their Disease. Medical Journal of Mashad University of Medical Sciences 2016; 59(1): 17-25. [In persian]
- 26. Saeid Pour J, Jafari M, Ghazi Asgar M, Dayani Dardashti H, Teymoorzadeh E. The Impact of Self-Care Education On Life Quality of Diabetic Patients. Journal of Health Administration 2013;16(52): 26-36. [In persian] 27. Ghamari M, Khoshnam AH. The Relationship of Original Family Function and Quality of Life among Students. Journal of Family Research 2011; 7(3): 343-354. [In persian]
- 28. Meybodi FB, Sadeghi A, Rezazade S. Utilization of Health Information Resources Among Staff of Kerman University of Medical Sciences, Deputy of Health Compared to The Health Staff of Kerman Cities' Health Centers, 2012-13. Journal of Health and Development 2014; 3(3): 222-30.
- 29. Jahanlou AS, Alishan Karami N. WHO quality of life-BREF 26 questionnaire: Reliability and validity of the Persian version and compare it with Iranian diabetics quality of life

- questionnaire in diabetic patients. Primary Care Diabetes 2011; 5(2): 103-7.
- 30. Skevington SM, Lotfy M, O'Connell KA. WHOQOL Group. The World Health Organization's WHOQOL-BREF quality of life assessment: psychometric properties and results of the international field trial. A report from the WHOQOL Group. Quality of Life Research 2004; 13: 299-310.
- 31. Ghasemi SR, Rjabi Gilan N, Reshadat S. The Survey of Health-Related Quality of Life in Kermanshah Rural Women and Some Related Factors. Journal of Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences 2014; 24(109): 173-81. [In persian]
- 32. Kaldi A, Kabiran Einoddin H, Mohagheghi Kamal SH, Rezasoltani P. The Evaluation of Relationship Between Health-Promoting Life Style and Quality of Life (Case of Study: University of Social Welfare and Rehabilitation Sciences Students in Tehran). Journal of Iranian Social Development Studies 2014; 6(4): 87-96. [In persian]
- 33. Heidari M, Alhani F, Kazemnejad A, Moezzi F. The Effect of Empowerment Model On Quality of Life of Diabetic Adolescents. Iranian Journal of Pediatrics 2007; 17(1): 87-94.
- 34. Rimaz SH, Dastoorpoor M, Vesali S, Saiepour N, Beigi Z, Nedjat S. The Survey of Quality of Life and its Related Factors in Femaleheaded Households Supported by Tehran Municipality, Ddistrict 9. Iranian Journal of Epidemiology 2014; 10(2): 48-55.
- 35. Namdar A, Beigizadeh Sh, Najafipour S. Health- related quality of life in dialysis patients. Journal of Jahrom University of Medical Sciences 2013; 10(4): 19-27.
- 36. Kahouei M, Babamohamadi H, Ghazavi S, Mehdizadeh J. A Survey On Barriers to Access Health Information Resources of Nursing Care from The Perspectives of Nurses and Nursing Students. Journal of Health Administration 2012;15(49):27-38.
- 37. Okhovati M, Sharifpoor E, Hamzeh Zadeh M, Shahsavari M, Soltan Shahi M. The Role of Public Libraries on Kerman Health Information Seeking Behavior. Journal of Health and Biomedical Informatics 2016;3(1):48-56.
- 38. Hesse BW, Nelson DE, Kreps GL, Croyle RT, Arora NK, Rimer BK, et al. Trust and sources of health information: the impact of the Internet and its implications for health care providers: findings from the first Health



Information National Trends Survey. Archives of Internal Medicine 2005; 165(22): 2618-24. 39. Lam MK, Lam LT. Health Information-Seeking Behavior on the Internet and Health Literacy Among Older Australians. Electronic Journal of Health Informatics 2012;7(2):1-7. 40. Esmaeilzadeh S, Ashrafi-rizi H, Shahrzadi L, Mostafavi F. A survey on adolescent health information seeking behavior related to high-risk behaviors in a selected educational district in Isfahan. PLoS ONE 2018; 13(11): e0206647. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0206647 41. Yoo-Lee E, Rhodes T, Peterson GM, Arndt T. Hispanics and Public Libraries: Assessing Their Health Information Seeking Behaviors in The E-Health Environment. Reference Services Review 2016; 44(2): 85-99.

- 42. Riahi A, Hariri N, Nooshinfard F. Study of health Information needs and barriers to access among afghan and iraqi immigrants in Iran. Journal of North Khorasan University 2015;7(3):597-610. [In persian]
- 43. Salmalian H, Omidvar S, Mashaghi TH, Nikpour M. Assessment Of Women's Awareness About The Complication Depomedroxy Progesterone Acetate And Related Factors. Journal of health Breeze 2013; 1(3): 1-7.
- 44. Sharami H, Milani F, Oudi M. Survey of Atittude and Knowledge About Tubal Ligation and its Complications in Women Residing in Rasht. Journal og Guilan University of Medical Sciences 2004; 12(48): 52-60. [In persian]



مقاله يژوهشى

ارتباط بین میزان دسترسی به منابع و راهنماهای کسب اطلاعات سلامت با کیفیت زندگی در زنان مراجعه کننده به بیمارستان های شهر قم

حسين درگاهي'، احمد راهبر'، عليرضا ذوالفقاري نيا"، ذبيح اله قارلي پور ً*، طاهره رمضاني ً، زهره كزازلو ً

۱-گروه مدیریت خدمات بهداشتی درمانی، دانشکده پیراپزشکی، دانشگاه علوم پزشکی تهران، تهران، ایران.

٢-گروه بهداشت عمومي، دانشكده بهداشت، دانشگاه علوم يزشكي قم، قم، ايران.

٣- گروه پزشكي عمومي، دانشكده پزشكي، دانشگاه آزاد اسلامي واحد قم، قم، ايران.

۴- گروه آموزش بهداشت و ارتقاء سلامت، دانشکده بهداشت، دانشگاه علوم پزشکی قم، قم، ایران

تاریخ پذیرش مقاله: ۱۳۹۸/۰۶/۲۴

تاریخ دریافت مقاله: ۱۳۹۸/۰۴/۱۱

چکیده

زمینه و هدف: در ایران در زمینه منابع جستجوی اطلاعات سلامت پژوهش های کمی گزارش شده است. این مطالعه با هدف تعیین ارتباط بین میزان دسترسی به منابع و راهنماهای کسب اطلاعات سلامت با کیفیت زندگی در زنان مراجعه کننده به بیمارستان های شهر قم انجام شده است.

مواد و روش ها: این مطالعه از نوع توصیفی-تحلیلی مقطعی بود که روی ۲۵۴ نفر از زنان مراجعه کننده به بیمارستان های شهر قم به صورت نمونه گیری چند مرحله ای انجام شد. داده ها از طریق پرسشنامه محقق ساخته منابع و راهنمای کسب اطلاعات سلامت و پرسشنامه استاندارد کیفیت زندگی جمع آوری و با استفاده از نرم افزار آماری SPSS V.20 از طریق آزمون های آماری تی مستقل، ANOVA، ضریب همبستگی پیرسون مورد تجزیه و تحلیل

نتایج: بین نمره کیفیت زندگی و استفاده از منابع و راهنمای کسب اطلاعات سلامت همبستگی منفی و معنی داری مشاهده گردید (۴۱-۲۰۲۰-۱٫۶۳)، به طوری که با افزایش استفاده از منابع و راهنمای کسب اطلاعات سلامت، نمره کیفیت زندگی افراد کاهش می یابد. همچنین بین سطح تحصیلات و نوع منابع و راهنمای کسب اطلاعات سلامت ارتباط معنی دار مشاهده شد(p<٠/٠٢)، به طوری که افراد دارای تحصیلات دانشگاهی برای کسب اطلاعات سلامت، بیشتر خودمنبعی و رسانههای جمعی را استفاده می کنند.

نتیجه گیری: با توجه به وجود رابطه معنی دار بین کیفیت زندگی و استفاده از منابع و راهنمای کسب اطلاعات سلامت، بایستی مردم با منابع علمی موثق مرتبط با سلامت و متناسب با شرایط و سطح سواد خود آشنا شوند و به درستی از آنها استفاده کنند.

كلمات كليدى: كيفيت زندگى، ميزان دسترسى، اطلاعات سلامت، راهنماها

*نویسنده مسئول: ذبیح اله قارلی پور ، گروه آموزش بهداشت و ارتقاء سلامت، دانشکده بهداشت، دانشگاه علوم پزشکی قم، قم، ایران.

Email: gharlipourz@yahoo.com https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4018-2438

مجله دانشگاه علوم يزشكي فسا سال نهم شماره ٣ ياييز ١٣٩٨ ١٥١٥-١٥٢٤