

https://jabs.fums.ac.ir/ Online ISSN: 2783-1523



# Seroprevalence and Risk Factors of Brucellosis in Abattoir Workers in Fars Province, Iran

# Alireza Zakeri<sup>®</sup>, Maryam Montaseri<sup>™®</sup>, Seyed Shahram Shekarforoush<sup>®</sup>

Department of Food Hygiene and Public Health, School of Veterinary Medicine, Shiraz University, Shiraz, Iran

#### Article Info Article Type:

Research Article

#### Article history:

Received

25 Jan 2024

Received in revised form

22 Mar 2024

Accepted

29 Mar 2024

Published online

05 May 2024

#### Publisher

Fasa University of Medical Sciences

# Abstract

**Background & Objectives:** Brucellosis remains an important occupational zoonotic disease, especially in developing countries. The disease is endemic in Iran and the Fars province. One of the main routes of brucellosis infection is at slaughterhouses, where the workers directly contact infected animals. This study was designed to estimate the seroprevalence of brucellosis among slaughterhouse workers in the Fars province, Iran.

**Materials & Methods:** Ninety blood samples were collected from workers of two livestock slaughterhouses (Marvdasht and Kazeroon), in Fars, Iran. The sera were assessed for the Rose Bengal test (RBT), as a screening test for brucellosis, and the positive samples were subjected to the Wright test. The positive Wright samples were finally tested for the 2-mercaptoethanol (2-ME) agglutination test.

**Results:** Brucellosis prevalence was 13.33% using RBT and 4.44% of the workers showed active brucellosis. No significant relationship was found between the questionnaire variables and brucellosis tests; exceptionally, there was a relationship between the workers' statements regarding having had brucellosis and RBT (P=0.01). **Conclusion:** Our study highlights the practical application of serological tests, including RBT, Wright, and 2-ME as a simple strategy to monitor brucellosis and to diagnose and treat its active form in endemic regions. Although a small frequency of the disease was found, it could cause significant health and economic damage to humans and animals in endemic areas. Furthermore, taking enough protective measures is highly recommended for slaughterhouse workers to prevent human brucellosis.

Keywords: Brucellosis, Seroprevalence, Abattoirs, Iran

**Cite this article:** Zakeri A, Montaseri M, Shekarforoush S.SH. Seroprevalence and Risk Factors of Brucellosis in Abattoir Workers in Fars Province, Iran. J Adv Biomed Sci. 2024; 14(2): 140-147. **DOI:** 10.18502/jabs.v14i2.15751

## **Introduction**

Brucellosis, caused by the genus *Brucella*, is currently one of the most common zoonotic infections worldwide, frequently occurring in countries where regular and effective eradication programs are not present (1). The high-risk areas include North and East Africa, Eastern Europe, the Mediterranean

Corresponding Author: Maryam Montaseri, Department of Food Hygiene and Public Health, School of Veterinary Medicine, Shiraz University, Shiraz, Iran Email: m.pourmontaseri@shirazu.ac.ir



region, south and Central Asia, and the Middle East, such as Iran (2). Most parts of Iran are endemic for human brucellosis with a pooled incidence of 0.001%, annually (3). The disease is categorized into four types: very high, high, moderate, and low in provinces of Iran. Accordingly, Fars province is classified into the moderate incidence, 11-20 cases per 100,000 populations (4). *Brucella* infection can primarily occur through inhaling the organisms and d irect

Downloaded from journal.fums.ac.ir on 2024-12-22 ]



Zakeri A, et al

contact with the placenta, blood, urine, aborted fetus, and vaginal discharges of infected animals, especially goats, cattle, and sheep (1, 5-10). Occupations related to livestock are strongly highlighted for brucellosis, comprising farmers, abattoir workers, butchers, veterinarians, and laboratory workers (9, 11). Working at abattoirs, as a risk factor, has been associated with brucellosis seropositivity in various countries (12-14). Abattoir workers are at most risk of infection via inhalation of infected aerosols, open wounds on bare hands, and splashing of infected fluids (15, 16). In Iran, brucellosis seroprevalence has been reported as 12.3% in Hamadan (17), 17% in Ahvaz (18), and 31.83% in Lorestan (19) among high-risk occupational groups.

Brucellosis may manifest as acute, sub-acute, and chronic in humans according to the duration of the clinical symptoms (20). In chronic brucellosis, symptoms such as myalgia, weakness, fatigue, arthralgia, and endocarditis usually last more than one year (21). As brucellosis can mimic various multisystem diseases, it may be overlooked, misdiagnosed, and not properly treated (22, 23). This problem stands out especially in most low and middle-income countries, without adequate healthcare infrastructure and public awareness. Screening methods of brucellosis in high-risk occupational groups are imperative for early diagnosis and treatment (24). Different methods, including culture, molecular and serological tests, can detect Brucella spp. The serological techniques encompass the Rose Bengal test (RBT), Standard Tube Agglutination Test, 2-mercaptoethanol (2-ME) ag-glutination test, and Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) (5). The culture method is considered the gold standard; however, bacterial growth is difficult and time-consuming. Serological tests are used to screen and confirm brucellosis in clinical samples. They are rapid, safe, and valid tests commonly used to monitor the prevalence of brucellosis in an area. RBT is primarily considered for screening of the infection and confirmed by the subsequent agglutination tests (24, 25).

Some sero-epidemiological investigations of brucellosis ha ve been performed among high-risk occupational groups such as farmers, slaughterhouse workers, butchers, and veterinarians in some areas of Iran (18, 19, 26, 27). However, there is no publication on brucellosis prevalence among slaughterhouse workers in Fars province, Iran, to the best of our knowledge. Therefore, this study intended to evaluate the seroprevalence of brucellosis among slaughter-house workers in Fars province, Iran.

## Materials & Methods Blood sampling

In this cross-sectional study conducted in 2021, the prevalence of brucellosis among slaughterhouse staff was assessed in Fars province, south-central Iran. A total of 90 blood samples were obtained from workers at two livestock slaughterhouses (Marvdasht and Kazeroon). About 5 mL of blood was collected into vacuum tubes without anticoagulant, and promptly transported to the laboratory under refrigerated condition. In the laboratory, after centrifugation (3000 g, 15 min) of the clot blood samples, the sera were separated and stored at-20 °C until use (28, 29).

## **Rose Bengal Test**

The sera samples were applied for serological brucellosis tests. The sera were first screened using an RBT kit (Pasteur, Iran), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, the sera samples and the reagent were placed at room temperature. An amount of  $30 \,\mu\text{L}$  of the serum was thoroughly mixed with an equal volume of the antigen on a glass slide and gently shaken for four minutes. Any visible agglutination was considered positive. To validate the accuracy of the study, positive and negative controls were used and the test was conducted in duplicate (28).

## Standard tube agglutination test (Wright assay)

The Wright assay was applied to positive samples obtained from the RBT to detect specific antibodies immunoglobulin (Ig)M and IgG. Each positive Wright test was then subjected to a 2-ME agglutination test. The Wright test was implemented





using a Wright agglutination tube kit (Pasteur, Iran), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, the sera were prepared after a 2-fold serial dilution with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) (pH=7.4, 1:20-1:5120 dilution). After that, 0.5 mL of *B. abortus* antigen was added to each tube, and then incubated at 37°C for 24-48 h. The tubes were finally compared with the positive control. A serum titer  $\geq$  1:80 was considered positive (19, 30). Positive and negative controls were used and the test was performed in duplicate.

## Mercaptoethanol agglutination test

Those tubes with positive Wright tests were assessed for the 2-ME agglutination test to detect IgG antibody titers. The 2-ME test was carried out precisely according to the procedure of the 2-ME test kit (Pasteur, Iran), similar to the procedure for the Wright test. The sera were diluted 1:2, followed by 2-fold serial dilution. Then, 0.5 mL of 2-ME antigen was added to each tube. The tubes were incubated for 24-48 h at 37 °C. A positive control was also included. The titer  $\geq$  1:40 was considered positive (29). According to the national guideline against brucellosis, the Wright titer  $\geq$  1:80 and 2-ME titer  $\geq$  1:40 indicate active brucellosis (31). Positive and negative controls were used and the test was implemented in duplicate.

#### Questionnaire

The workers filled out a questionnaire at the time of



#### Brucellosis in Abattoir Workers in Fars Province

blood sampling. The questionnaire content was designed based on previous studies on slaughterhouse workers (32, 33). The questionnaire included socio-demographic questions (name, age, living place, education level, duration of employment), epidemiological data (history of contracting brucellosis, contact with an aborted fetus, consumption of dairy products), and clinical symptoms (fever, chills, and malaise, cardiac problems). To determine the content validity, the questionnaire was checked and edited by some infectious disease specialists. **Statistical analysis** 

The data were analyzed using IBM SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences) version 18. Qualitative statistics were used for frequency percentages. A chi-square analysis was applied to examine the association between variables and serological tests. A p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

#### **Results**

In RBT, 12/90 (13.33%) sera samples were positive. Out of RBT-positive sera, 9/12 (75.00%) were positive (antibody titer  $\geq$  1:80) for the Wright test. In the 2-ME test, 4/9 (44.44%) of samples were positive (antibody titer  $\geq$  1:40), out of those positive in the Wright test (Table 1). Generally, 4/90 (4.44%) of the workers showed active brucellosis.

Table 1. The prevalence of brucellosis in slaughterhouse workers according to Rose Bengal, Wright, and 2-ME tests

Test	Positive (%)	Negative (%)	Total (%)
RBT	12 (13.33)	78 (86.67)	90 (100)
Wright	9 (75.00)	3 (25.00)	12 (100)
2-ME	4 (44.44)	5 (55.56)	9 (100)

RBT: Rose Bengal test, 2-ME: 2-mercaptoethanol

According to the questionnaire results, the abattoir workers had a mean age ( $\pm$  standard deviation) of 41.1 $\pm$ 1.1, with a range of 20 to 62 years old. The mean ( $\pm$  standard deviation) duration of employment in the slaughterhouses was 11.1 $\pm$ 7.2 years, ranging from three months to 45 years. The frequency of the

brucellosis tests (RBT and 2-ME) according to the variables is detailed in Table 1. The Chi-squared analysis inferred a significant relationship between the abattoir staff positive history of brucellosis and positive RBT (p < 0.05) (Table 2). Moreover, all workers (n=90) wore gowns and boots, but none of



Zakeri A, et al



	Brucellosis tests						
Risk factors	Positive RBT		Positive 2-ME				
	No.	No. (%)	p value	No.	No. (%)	<i>p</i> value	
Living place							
Urban	70	11 (15.7)	0.26	11	3 (27.3)	0.14	
Rural	18	1 (5.6)		1	1 (100)		
Age							
20-40	45	4 (8.9)	0.22	4	2 (50.0)	0.39	
41-62	43	8 (18.6)		8	2 (25.0)		
Education							
Lower than high school diploma	high school diploma 63 8 (1/3)		0.02	9	3 (33.3)	4	
High school diploma	22	3 (13.6)	0.92	3	1 (33.3)	1	
Associate degree	3	0 (0.0)					
Work experience (year)							
1-10	56	10 (15.4)		10	4 (40.0)		
11-20	10	2 (20.0)	0.28	2	0 (0.0)	0.27	
>21	13	0 (0.0)		0	0 (0.0)		
Other livestock-related jobs		0 (0.0)			0 (0.0)		
Yes	14	1 (7.1)	0.44	1	0 (0.0)	0.46	
No	74	11 (14.9)		11	4 (36.4)		
Line of slaughtering	, .	11 (11.2)			1 (30.1)		
Cattle	15	1 (6.7)		1	1 (100)		
Sheep and goat	19	2 (10.5)	0.55	2	0 (0.0)	0.22	
both	54	9 (16.7)		9	3 (33.3)		
Getting brucellosis	54	9 (10.7)		2	5 (55.5)		
Yes	19	6 (31.6)	0.01	6	2 (33.3)	1	
No	69	6 (31.6) 6 (8.7)	0.01	6	2 (33.3)	1	
	09	0 (0.7)		0	2 (33.3)		
Systemic signs Yes	5	2 (40.0)	0.08	2	1 (50.0)	0.58	
Y es No		<u> </u>	0.08		1 (50.0)	0.58	
	83	10 (12.0)		10	3 (30.0)		
Cardiac/bone problem	21	5 (22.0)	0.12	-	2 (40.0)	0.60	
Yes	21	5 (23.8)	0.12	5	2 (40.0)	0.68	
No	67	7 (10.4)		7	2 (28.6)		
Contact with aborted fetus		10 (17 -	0.17		1 ( 12 - 22		
Yes	57	10 (17.5)	0.15	10	4 (40.0)	0.27	
No	31	2 (6.5)		2	0 (0.0)		
Consumption of traditional							
dairy		0.44	0.47			0.39	
Yes	66	8 (12.1)	0.1.1	8	2 (25.0)	0.09	
No	22	4 (18.2)		4	2 (50.0)		

**Table 2.** The relationship between brucellosis tests (Rose Bengal and 2-ME) and the demographic characteristics of the slaughterhouse workers

Obtained from Chi-square test, No: number, RBT: Rose Bengal test, 2-ME: 2-mercaptoethanol



them (n=90) wore gloves, masks, and goggles. As the positive cases had symptoms (myalgia, weakness, fatigue, arthralgia, and endocarditis) lasting more than one year, they were considered to have chronic disease (21). However, based on the laboratory findings (antibodies titers), the brucellosis was active in the positive cases, requiring treatment.

#### **Discussion**

This study surveyed the prevalence of brucellosis, the main occupational-related zoonotic disease, among 90 slaughterhouse personnel in Fars, Iran. The result showed 13.33% seropositivity for RBT, with 4.44% (4/90) of the workers testing positive for active brucellosis. Karimi et al. (34) evaluated Brucella antibodies in a high-risk population (20 butchers and 25 slaughterers) in Shiraz in which 10% of abattoir staff were positive for RBT, and 6% showed active brucellosis (2-ME  $\geq$  1:20). This finding aligns with the results of our study, suggesting that brucellosis remains a prevalent issue in Fars province even after 21 years, not successfully eradicated in this region. Iran is a developing country, located in the Eastern Mediterranean, an endemic region for brucellosis (35). Numerous reasons are implicated in the failure of the eradication programs in Iran, including: 1) insufficient financial support for animal vaccinations, lack of permanent monitoring and slaughtering programs, as well as lack of compensating animal owners; 2) insufficient attention paid to zoonotic diseases by the veterinary organization and other relevant authorities; 3) insufficient cooperation of other organizations and social media with the veterinary organization to promote disease control and preventive goals (31).

There are several reports of brucellosis prevalence among slaughterhouse workers and butchers in some provinces of Iran. These reports comprise high to low seroprevalence, including 43.75% in Lorestan (19), 30.3% in Khorasan (36), 14.4% in Kermanshah (8), 13.1% in Hamadan (17), and 12% in Kurdistan (37).



Brucellosis in Abattoir Workers in Fars Province

The results of this study were similar to those of Kermanshah, Hamadan, and Kurdistan regions. In a meta-analysis reviewing livestock-related occupational exposure to brucellosis from 2000 to 2022, brucellosis prevalence was found to be 14%, and among different occupational groups, slaughterhouse workers showed the highest prevalence rate of brucellosis (20%) (1). Various serological prevalence rates of brucellosis have been reported among abattoir personnel in different parts of the world; for instance, 75.2% in Egypt (38), 4.4% in Uganda (39), 37.6% in Algeria (40), 21.7% in Pakistan (41), 19.69% in India (42), and 6.1% in South Korea (32). The highest prevalence of brucellosis has been reported in the Middle East region such as Iran, Egypt, Iraq, Saudi Arabia, and Turkey (43). A study on abattoir workers in Kazeroon city, Fars province of Iran, revealed 11.76% positivity for brucellosis based on RBT and tube agglutination techniques (44), which complies with our result (13.33%). Other studies also showed brucellosis prevalence rates of 18.52% in Pakistan (45), 16% in Argentina (46), and 4.54% in Brazil (47) using RBT. Our result complies with the report from Argentina. In a study that evaluated the brucellosis sero-prevalence in South Africa, 12.6% and 17.5% of the abattoir workers were positive using RBT and ELISA, respectively (48). In Uganda, the occurrence of anti-Brucella antibodies among slaughterhouse workers was 9.0% (95% CI: 6.3-12.7) using RBT (49).

The questionnaire variables had no significant effect on the results of the serological brucellosis tests. The only significant relationship was found between the abattoir staff members' declaration of getting brucellosis and the positive RBT (P<0.05). RBT is a preferable screening test. Although it has high sensitivity, further confirmatory tests are required to diagnose brucellosis (50). In this study, due to the high sensitivity of RBT, a relationship was observed between positive RBT cases and the history of getting brucellosis. However, no relationship was found between the serological tests and other questionnaire variables (age, education, contact with



Zakeri A. et al

aborted fetuses, and consumption of unpasteurized dairy products) (p>0.05). This may be due to the relatively small number of collected samples and especially small number of positive ones. The occupational risk factor is considerable for the Brucellapositive result, and is similar to that in the Karimi's et al. study (34). According to world studies, slaughterhouse workers and butchers are the second high-risk group for brucellosis after livestock workers. Contact with infected ruminants' materials, including carcasses, visceral organs, feces, and blood, and inhalation of infected aerosols are considered the most important risk factors for the disease (9). All workers lacked proper protective equipment (such as gloves, masks, goggles, and boots) in this study. A study from Nigeria revealed a significant relationship between brucellosis and the lack of using personal protective equipment (51). Low sample sizes and the lack of applying other techniques in Brucella diagnosis such as molecular and ELISA tests were among the limitations of the study.

#### Conclusion

This study highlighted the practical application of serological tests, including RBT, Wright, and 2-ME as a simple strategy to monitor brucellosis and to diagnose and treat the active form of the disease in endemic regions. Although only a small frequency of the disease was found, it could cause significant health and economic damage to humans and animals in endemic areas. Monitoring high-risk occupational groups is imperative to control the disease effectively. Furthermore, the use of enough protective measures is highly recommended for slaughterhouse workers to prevent human brucellosis. More comprehensive prevalence studies on livestock are also recommended to control this zoonotic disease in rural areas.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the School of Veterinary Medicine, Shiraz University, for the financial and technical support of this study.



#### **Conflict of Interest**

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

#### Funding

This study was funded by the School of Veterinary Medicine, Shiraz University (Grant No. 98GRC1M 367732).

#### **Ethical Considerations**

All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of Shiraz University, Iran (IACUC no: 1399/63). Written consent was obtained from all of the participants.

#### **Code of Ethics**

IACUC no: 1399/63

## **Authors' Contributions**

AZ: data collection, methodology, MM: conceptualization, supervision, data analysis, writing, and editing. SSS: data analysis, reviewing, and editing. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

**Data Availability Statement** The data are available on request from the authors.

#### **List of Abbreviations**

Rose Bengal test (RBT) 2-mercaptoethanol (2-ME) Immunoglobulin (Ig) Phosphate buffered saline (PBS) Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS)

#### References

1.Mia MM, Hasan M, Pory FS. Occupational exposure to livestock and risk of tuberculosis and brucellosis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. One Health, 2022;15:100432.





- 2.World Health Organization (WHO). Brucellosis in humans and animals 2022 [Available from: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241547130].
- Mirnejad R, Jazi FM, Mostafaei S, Sedighi M. Epidemiology of brucellosis in Iran: A comprehensive systematic review and metaanalysis study. Microb Pathog, 2017;109:239-47.
- 4.Golshani M, Buozari S. A review of brucellosis in Iran: epidemiology, risk factors, diagnosis, control, and prevention. Iran Biomed J, 2017;21(6):349.
- Adebowale O, Fasanmi OG, Awosile B, Afolabi M, Fasina FO. Systematic review and meta-analysis of veterinary-related occupational exposures to hazards. Open Vet Sci, 2021;2(1):6-22.
- 6.Akhvlediani T, Bautista CT, Garuchava N, Sanodze L, Kokaia N, Malania L, et al. Epidemiological and clinical features of brucellosis in the country of Georgia. PLOS One, 2017;12(1):e0170376.
- 7.Medscape. Brucellosis, bachground 2022 [Available from: https://emedicine.medscape.com/article/213430-overview.
- 8.Rajabi J, Hamidi-Farahani R, Mansouri F, Soleiman-Meigooni S. Incidence and risk factors of brucellosis in Kermanshah province, Iran during 2010-2014. Infect Disord Drug Targets, 2020;20(2):203-7.
- 9.Pereira CR, Cotrim de Almeida JVF, Cardoso de Oliveira IR, Faria de Oliveira L, Pereira LJ, Zangeronimo MG, et al. Occupational exposure to *Brucella* spp.: A systematic review and meta-analysis. PLOS Negl Trop Dis, 2020;14(5):e0008164.
- 10.Pal M, Kerorsa GB, Desalegn C, Kandi V. Human and Animal Brucellosis: A Comprehensive Review of Biology, Pathogenesis, Epidemiology, Risk Factors, Clinical Signs, Laboratory Diagnosis. Am J Infect Dis, 2020;8(4):118-26.
- 11.World Health Organization (WHO). Brucellosis 2022 [Available from: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/brucellosis].
- 12. Awah-Ndukum J, Mouiche MMM, Kouonmo-Ngnoyum L, Bayang HN, Manchang TK, Poueme RSN, et al. Seroprevalence and risk factors of brucellosis among slaughtered indigenous cattle, abattoir personnel and pregnant women in Ngaoundéré, Cameroon. BMC Infect Dis, 2018;18(1):1-13.
- 13.Bamidele F, Gidado S, Edukugho A, Cadmus S. Seroprevalence of Brucellosis in abattoir workers and slaughtered cattle in Ilorin metropolis Kwara State Nigeria. Int J Infect Dis, 2020;101:532-3.
- 14.Mirambo MM, Mgode GF, Malima ZO, John M, Mngumi EB, Mhamphi GG, et al. Seropositivity of *Brucella* spp. and *Leptospira* spp. antibodies among abattoir workers and meat vendors in the city of Mwanza, Tanzania: A call for one health approach control strategies. PLOS Negl Trop Dis, 2018;12(6):e0006600.

#### Brucellosis in Abattoir Workers in Fars Province

- 15.Eko SM, Esemu SN, Nota AD, Ndip LM. A review on brucellosis in Cameroon: diagnostic approaches, epidemiology and risk factors for infection. Adv Microbiol, 2022;12(7):415-42.
- 16.Denice L, Lughano K, Gabriel S. Occupational hazards associated with human brucellosis in abattoir settings: A case study of Dodoma abattoir in Tanzania. J Vet Med Anim Health, 2019;11(3):73-80.
- 17.Mamani M, Majzoobi MM, Keramat F, Varmaghani N, Moghimbeigi A. Seroprevalence of brucellosis in butchers, veterinarians and slaughterhouse workers in Hamadan, western Iran. J Res Health Sci, 2018;18(1):406.
- 18.Salmanzadeh S, Aliakbarian Z, Mostafavi E, Salehi-Vaziri M, Moogahi S. A cross-sectional study of sero-prevalence and risk factors of brucellosis and haemorrhagic fever in slaughterhouse staff in Ahvaz City, Iran; 2020. Medical Studies/Studia Medyczne, 2020;37(1):7-15.
- 19.Esmaeili S, Amiri FB, Mokhayeri H, Kayedi MH, Maurin M, Rohani M, Mostafavi E. Seroepidemiological study of Q fever, brucellosis and tularemia in butchers and slaughterhouses workers in Lorestan, western of Iran. Comp Immunol Microbiol Infect Dis, 2019;66:101322.
- 20.SOLAY AH, Kuşçu F, Tütüncü EE, Gülay D, Gürbüz Y. Brucellosis; Difficulty of Diagnosis in Endemic Areas. J Contemp Med, 2023;13(2):282-7.
- Niścigorska-Olsen J. Brucellosis. McMaster Textbook of Internal Medicine. Kraków: Medycyna Praktyczna. https://empendium.com/mcmtextbook/chapter/B31.II.18.96.2. Accessed July 08, 2023.
- 22.Jiang W, Chen J, Li Q, Jiang L, Huang Y, Lan Y, Li Y. Epidemiological characteristics, clinical manifestations and laboratory findings in 850 patients with brucellosis in Heilongjiang Province, China. BMC Infect Dis, 2019;19:1-6.
- 23.Liu C-M, Suo B, Zhang Y. Analysis of Clinical Manifestations of Acute and Chronic Brucellosis in Patients Admitted to a Public General Hospital in Northern China. Int J Gen Med, 2021;14:8311-8316.
- 24.Madut NA, Ocan M, Muwonge A, Muma JB, Nasinyama GW, Godfroid J, et al. Sero-prevalence of brucellosis among slaughterhouse workers in Bahr el Ghazal region, South Sudan. BMC Infect Dis, 2019;19(1):1-7.
- 25.Aghamohammad S, Rastin M, Mostafavi E, Anaraki AH, Rahravani M, Sadaf RA, et al. Determination of seroprevalence of brucellosis in livestock and high-risk population in Kurdistan, Western Iran. Comp Immunol Microbiol Infect Dis, 2023;93:101942.
- 26.Sabzevari S, Shoraka H, Seyyedin M. Seroepidemiological survey of brucellosis and Q fever among high-risk occupations in northeast of Iran for first time. Iran J Microbiol, 2021;13(3):325.



#### Zakeri A, et al



- 27.Khoshnood S, Pakzad R, Koupaei M, Shirani M, Araghi A, Irani GM, et al. Prevalence, diagnosis, and manifestations of brucellosis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Front Vet Sci, 2022;9:976215.
- 28. Tsegay A, Tuli G, Kassa T, Kebede N. Seroprevalence and risk factors of brucellosis in abattoir workers at Debre Zeit and Modjo export abattoir, Central Ethiopia. BMC Infect Dis, 2017;17(1):1-8.
- 29.Nyamota R, Maina J, Akoko J, Nthiwa D, Mwatondo A, Muturi M, et al. Seroprevalence of *Brucella* spp. and Rift Valley fever virus among slaughterhouse workers in Isiolo County, northern Kenya. PLOS Negl Trop Dis, 2023;17(10):e0011677.
- 30.Zadsar M, Shirzadi MR, Zeynali M, Rasouli M, Karimi G. Human Brucellosis: Risks and prevalence among Iranian blood donors residing in endemic areas. Transfus Med Hemother, 2020;47(2):103-9.
- Mohammad Zeinali MS, Homa Hajrasooliha. National guideline against brucellosis. In: Education MoHaM, editor. Tehran: Raznahan; 2012. p. 50.
- 32.Etemadi A, Moniri R, Saffari M, Akbari H, Alamian S, Behrozikhah AM. Epidemiological, molecular characterization and risk factors of human brucellosis in Iran. Asian Pac J Trop Med, 2020;13(4):169-75.
- 33.Acharya D, Hwang SD, Park J-H. Seroreactivity and risk factors associated with human brucellosis among cattle slaughterhouse workers in South Korea. Int J Environ Res Public Health, 2018;15(11):2396.
- 34.Karimi A, Al Borzi A, Rasouli M, Kadivar M, Nateghian A. Prevalence of antibody to *Brucella* species in butchers, slaughterers and others. East Mediterr Health J, 2003;9(1-2):178-184.
- 35.Bahmani N, Bahmani A. A review of brucellosis in the Middle East and control of animal brucellosis in an Iranian experience. Rev Res Med Microbiol, 2022;33(1):e63-e9.
- 36.Parizadeh SMJ, Seyednozadi M, Erfanian MR, Nezhad MA. A survey on antibody levels among individuals at risk of brucellosis in Khorasan Razavi Province, Iran. Pak J Nutr, 2009;8(2):139-44.
- 37.Esmaeili S, Pourhossein B, Gouya MM, Amiri FB, Mostafavi E. Seroepidemiological survey of Q fever and brucellosis in Kurdistan Province, western Iran. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis, 2014;14(1):41-5.
- 38.Zakaria AM, Ahmed SF, Motawae MS. Seropositivity in animals and risk of occupational brucellosis among abattoirs personnel associated with poor work practices and absence of safety policy in Egypt. Int J Occup Med Environ Health, 2018;24(1-2):55-60.
- 39.Nguna J, Dione M, Apamaku M, Majalija S, Mugizi DR, Odoch T, et al. Seroprevalence of brucellosis and risk factors associated

with its seropositivity in cattle, goats and humans in Iganga District, Uganda. Pan Afr Med J, 2019;33(1):1-10.

- 40.Aggad H, Boukraa L. Prevalence of bovine and human brucellosis in western Algeria: comparison of screening tests. East Mediterr Health J, 2006;12(1-2):119-128.
- 41.Mukhtar F. Brucellosis in a high risk occupational group: seroprevalence and analysis of risk factors. J Pak Med Assoc, 2010;60(12):1031.
- 42. Agasthya A, Isloor S, Prabhudas K. Brucellosis in high risk group individuals. Indian J Med Microbiol, 2007;25(1):28-31.
- 43.Bagheri Nejad R, Krecek RC, Khalaf OH, Hailat N, Arenas-Gamboa AM. Brucellosis in the Middle East: Current situation and a pathway forward. PLOS Negl Trop Dis, 2020;14(5):e0008071.
- 44.Beheshti S, Rezaian G, Azad F, Faghiri Z, Taheri F. Seroprevalence of brucellosis and risk factors related to high risk occupational groups in Kazeroon, South of Iran. Int J Occup Environ Med, 2010;1(2):62-8.
- 45.Ali S, Ali Q, Neubauer H, Melzer F, Elschner M, Khan I, et al. Seroprevalence and risk factors associated with brucellosis as a professional hazard in Pakistan. Foodborne Pathog Dis, 2013;10(6):500-5.
- 46.Escobar GI, Jacob NR, López G, Ayala SM, Whatmore AM, Lucero NE. Human brucellosis at a pig slaughterhouse. Comp Immunol Microbiol Infect Dis, 2013;36(6):575-80.
- 47.Schneider RC, Santos MD, Lunardi M, Benetti AH, Camargo LM, Freitas SH, et al. Prevalence of brucellosis and risk factors associated with its transmission to slaughterhouse employees in the Cuiaba metropolitan area in the state of Mato Grosso. Semina: Cien. Agrar, 2013;34(5):2367-73.
- 48.Kolo FB, Adesiyun AA, Fasina FO, Harris BN, Rossouw J, Byaruhanga C, et al. Brucellosis Seropositivity Using Three Serological Tests and Associated Risk Factors in Abattoir Workers in Gauteng Province, South Africa. Pathogens, 2024;13(1):64.
- 49.Bugeza JK, Roesel K, Mugizi DR, Alinaitwe L, Kivali V, Kankya C, et al. Sero-prevalence and risk factors associated with occurrence of anti-*Brucella* antibodies among slaughterhouse workers in Uganda. PLOS Negl Trop Dis, 2024;18(3):e0012046.
- 50.Zakaria AM. Comparative assessment of sensitivity and specificity of rose bengal test and modified in-house ELISA by using IS711 TaqMan Real Time PCR assay as a gold standard for the diagnosis of bovine brucellosis. Biomed Pharmacol J, 2018;11(2):951-7.
- 51.Igawe PB, Okolocha E, Kia GS, Irmiya IB, Balogun MS, Nguku P. Seroprevalence of brucellosis and associated risk factors among abattoir workers in Bauchi State, Nigeria. Pan Afr Med J, 2020;35(1):1-10.