

Identification and Analysis of Dyslipidemia Risk Factors in a Population-Based Study: Data from the Fasa Persian Cohort

Karimivaselabadi Abolfazl¹, Karimi Shahnaz², Bijani Mostafa³, Ghasemian Abdolmajid², Bazrafshan Mohammad-Rafie³, Barbaresi Silvia⁴, Sharafi Mehdi⁵, Dehghan Azizallah²✉

1. Department of Clinical Biochemistry, School of Medicine, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran
2. Noncommunicable Diseases Research Center, Fasa University of Medical Sciences, Fasa, Iran
3. Department of Nursing, School of Nursing, Larestan University of Medical Sciences, Larestan, Iran
4. Department of Movement and Sports Sciences, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium
5. Social Determinants in Health Promotion Research Center, Hormozgan Health Institute, Hormozgan University of Medical Sciences, Bandar Abbas, Iran

Article Info

Article Type:

Original Article

Article History:

Received

03 Nov 2023

Received in revised form

24 Nov 2023

Accepted

02 Dec 2023

Published online

15 Jan 2024

Publisher:

Fasa University of
Medical Sciences

Abstract

Background & Objectives: Dyslipidemia is a major public health issue worldwide characterized by changes in lipid index such as cholesterol, Low Density Lipoprotein (LDL), High Density Lipoprotein (HDL) and triglycerides (TG). This manuscript aimed to investigate the associated factors of dyslipidemia in Fasa Persian cohort study.

Materials & Methods: This cross-sectional study was conducted on the baseline data of Fasa Persian cohort with an initial sample size of 10129 subjects (35-70 years old) in 2021 in Iran. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression models were used to assess the relationship between covariates and dyslipidemia.

Results: The number of males in this study was 4572 and the number of females was 5557. The prevalence of dyslipidemia in them was 40.8% and 27.7%, respectively (p -value < 0.001), and the prevalence of dyslipidemia in the whole study population was 3407 (33.6%). Dyslipidemia was also more common in alcoholics, opium users, smokers, high glycemic index and higher body mass index (BMI). Men were 1.68 times more likely to have dyslipidemia than women. Opium and cigarette smokers were 1.15 and 1.36 times more likely to have dyslipidemia than non-smokers, respectively. Also, as BMI increases, the likelihood of dyslipidemia becomes higher than the reference group (below 18.5), and people with a BMI greater than 30 have the highest obesity range.

Conclusions: This study showed that the prevalence of dyslipidemia in the studied population was higher in men than in women and several risk factors such as gender differences, body mass index, smoking and opium use are effective in the prevalence of dyslipidemia.

Keywords: Dyslipidemia, Cholesterol, High Density Lipoprotein, Related Factors

Cite this article: Karimivaselabadi A, Karimi Sh, Bijani M, Ghasemian A, Bazrafshan MR, Barbaresi S, Sharafi M, Dehghan A. Identification and Analysis of Dyslipidemia Risk Factors in a Population-based Study: Data from the Fasa Persian Cohort. JABS.2024; 14(1): 36-46.

DOI: 10.18502/jabs.v14i1.14801

Introduction

Dyslipidemia, including hypercholesterolemia, hypertriglyceridemia, elevated low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol, and reduced high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol as a major modifiable risk factor, has become a major public health concern worldwide (1).

✉ **Corresponding Author:** Dehghan Azizallah, Noncommunicable Diseases Research Center, Fasa University of Medical Sciences, Fasa, Iran
Email: dehghanaz@gmail.com

Dyslipidemia can be broadly classified into two groups: primary (familial) or secondary (acquired) dyslipidemia. Primary dyslipidemia results from a variety of genetic conditions that a person may inherit at birth, whereas secondary dyslipidemia usually results from other underlying causes, such as unhealthy diet, medications (e.g., amiodarone, glucocorticoids), hypothyroidism, uncontrolled diabetes, and/or a sedentary lifestyle (2). Dyslipidemia, particularly high levels of LDL

(hypercholesterolemia), is one of the most common risk factors for the progression of atherosclerosis and subsequent vascular disease. It is essentially characterized by elevated levels of lipids or fats in the bloodstream. The development of atherosclerosis is influenced by various factors such as endothelial damage, dyslipidemia, inflammatory and immunological factors, plaque erosion or rupture, hypertension and smoking (3). The most important laboratory test to perform is the fasting lipid profile, which typically assesses levels of LDL, HDL, triglycerides and total cholesterol. In addition, for a more comprehensive evaluation, v-LDL, total cholesterol:HDL, and LDL:HDL ratios may be included in the test (4).

According to the World Health Organization (WHO) regions in 2018, the global prevalence of dyslipidemia was estimated to be 39% in adults of both sexes (5). According to a study in Saudi Arabia, one in four people suffer from dyslipidemia (6). In Iran, according to studies conducted in 2014, the prevalence of dyslipidemia was 63.4% in Shahrood (7) and 40% in Ramsar (8), highlighting that the prevalence of dyslipidemia is quite high in different parts of Iran. Globally, the burden of dyslipidemia in various diseases is constantly increasing (9). According to WHO, in 2018, 17.9 million deaths occurred every year due to cardiovascular diseases, which is 31% of all deaths worldwide (10). Dyslipidemia is the primary and most important risk factor for atherosclerosis of the blood vessels of the heart and brain, which causes coronary heart disease and cerebrovascular disease (11, 12). One-third of ischemic heart disease cases worldwide are secondary to hypercholesterolemia, and the disease is responsible for an estimated 2.6 million (4.5%) deaths worldwide (13). Evidence suggests that elevated triglyceride (TG) levels are associated with ischemic stroke (14). Hypertriglyceridemia and low HDL cholesterol levels are common in individuals with obesity and type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), which in turn increases the risk of cardiovascular disease (11, 15, 16). Hedayatnia et al (2020) found in their

study that blood triglyceride levels are significantly associated with myocardial infarction in men (17). Thus, all changes in the lipoprotein profile are associated with the risk of cardiovascular disease (18). Because the prevalence of dyslipidemia varies in different regions due to cultural, social, environmental, economic, and ethnic differences (19, 20), the major associated factors also vary in different societies.

Studies have identified some factors associated with dyslipidemia, such as lifestyle, genetic factors, environmental factors, dietary factors, and obesity, but more research is needed to identify associated risk factors and develop methods to manage dyslipidemia in different populations (8, 21-23). It is noteworthy that WHO has set the control of cardiovascular disease and diabetes (together with respiratory disease and cancer) and their underlying risk factors as the first major target to reduce 25% of deaths from noncommunicable diseases by 2025 (13). Therefore, further studies in different communities to determine the factors associated with dyslipidemia can help reduce complications and promote cardiovascular health and identify appropriate preventive measures. In Iran, the risk of cardiovascular disease is significantly high and the management of blood lipid levels is often suboptimal, despite the increasing attention of the health care team and individuals. Also, the prevalence of dyslipidemia in Iran has increased in the last decade due to cultural-economic diversity and lifestyle changes. On the other hand, most of the studies in the country have been conducted on patients with small sample size; therefore, further studies on the prevalence of dyslipidemia and its potential risk factors are needed to reduce the burden of cardiovascular diseases. Therefore, the aim of this study was to determine the prevalence of dyslipidemia and identify associated risk factors in the population of Fasa Persian cohort.

Materials and Methods

Study design and population

We conducted this cross-sectional study to determine the prevalence of dyslipidemia and its

associated factors in adolescents by analyzing data from a population-based cohort study in 2021. The Fasa-Persian Cohort is one of 22 cohorts conducted in Iran with the aim of investigating factors influencing the incidence of cardiovascular disease in the age group 35-70 years. Several experts have formulated recommendations for lipid screening, including the "lipid profile" to assess cholesterol and triglyceride levels. These guidelines vary with respect to the recommended age for initiation of screening and the frequency of screening for dyslipidemia. In general, it is recommended that routine lipid screening begin in men at age 35 years (in the absence of other cardiovascular risk factors) or at age 25 years (if the patient has other cardiovascular risk factors). Similarly, it is suggested that routine lipid screening in women should begin at age 45 years (in the absence of other cardiovascular risk factors) or at age 30 to 35 years (if the patient has other cardiovascular risk factors) (3). The Fasa Persian cohort in Sheshdeh and Qara Bolagh region started in 2015 with an initial sample size of 10, 129 subjects and baseline information including a comprehensive questionnaire of demographic variables, socioeconomic status, medical information (history of communicable and noncommunicable diseases, physical examinations, blood pressure and pulse, oral and dental condition, nutritional status via food frequency questionnaire (FFQ 125)), history of smoking/opium use, anthropometric measurements; Electrocardiography (ECG), body composition, biological samples (blood, urine and nails), these were completed for 10, 129 participants in 2016. This information was collected in the form of face-to-face interviews by interviewers trained by the central team of the Persian cohort (24, 25).

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

All subjects in the age group of 35-70 years in Sheshdeh and Qara-Balagh region who gave informed consent to participate in the cohort study. Subjects who could not answer

the questions, mental patients, and physically disabled subjects were excluded from the study.

Definition of Dyslipidemia

For each person enrolled in the study, 15-25 mL of fasting blood was collected according to established standards. Primary tests including blood lipids, biochemical indices, CBC, etc. were performed and the results were recorded for each individual. To define dyslipidemia, the parameters of the blood samples collected at baseline were used. These parameters included total cholesterol ≥ 240 mg/dL, LDL cholesterol > 160 mg/dL or HDL cholesterol < 40 mg/dL, or triglycerides > 200 mg/dL, the presence of at least one of which was considered dyslipidemia (11).

Statistical Analysis

Frequencies and percentages were used to report variables classified by age group and sex. Independent samples t-test was used to compare blood parameters in age and sex subgroups. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression models were also used to assess the relationship between covariates and dyslipidemia. In this way, variables with a significance level of less than 0.2 in the univariate analysis were included in the multivariate model to control for confounding. An adjusted odds ratio (AOR) with a 95% confidence interval (95%CI) was used to report associations. In all analyses, a significance level of less than 0.05 was considered significant. The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) software (version 26.0) was used for data analysis.

Results

In this study 10129 subjects including 4572 (45.1) men and 5557 (54.9) women aged 35 to 70 years participated. The mean of total cholesterol was 185.08 ± 39.16 mg/dL, LDL cholesterol was 107.83 ± 32.59 mg/dL, HDL cholesterol was 51.01 ± 15.89 mg/dL and TG was 131.8 ± 82.41 mg/dL. The mean concentration of

total cholesterol, LDL, HDL was higher in women than in men ($P<0.001$), but the mean concentration of TG was higher in men than in women ($P<0.001$) (Table 1). The highest mean TG concentration was found in men in the age group 44-55 years

and in women in the age group 55-64 years. The highest concentrations of total cholesterol were found in men and women in the age groups >65 and 55-64 years, respectively. Table 1 shows the demographic characteristics of the subjects.

Table 1. Mean and standard deviation of lipid profile according to sex and age groups

| Sex/ Age | Total | Triglycerides | Total cholesterol | LDL cholesterol | HDL cholesterol |
|--------------------------|-------------|---------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Male | N (%) | Mean± SD | Mean± SD | Mean± SD | Mean± SD |
| 35-44 | 1846 (40.4) | 142.21± 6.93 | 176.71± 36.62 | 102.53± 30.67 | 45.79± 13.45 |
| 45-54 | 1378 (30.1) | 139.98± 4.16 | 181.27± 38.07 | 105.95± 31.42 | 47.49± 14.48 |
| 55-65 | 1096 (24) | 126.97± 3.62 | 178.41± 39.23 | 105.24± 32.51 | 47.89± 14.56 |
| >65 | 252 (5.5) | 110.37± 8.73 | 182.21± 39.36 | 106.11± 32.02 | 53.02± 17.47 |
| Total | 4572 (100) | 136.14±91.37 | 178.74± 37.89 | 104.41± 31.45 | 47.20± 14.37 |
| Female | | | | | |
| 35-44 | 2180 (39.2) | 117.01±71.89 | 182.09± 35.80 | 105.42± 30.25 | 53.53± 16.58 |
| 45-54 | 1777 (32) | 132.48± 4.34 | 193.63± 39.59 | 112.88± 33.49 | 54.29±1 5.98 |
| 55-64 | 1240 (22.3) | 140.04± 8.57 | 198.66± 40.93 | 116.16± 35.12 | 54.58± 16.34 |
| ≥ 65 | 360 (6.5) | 134.68± 9.17 | 194.83± 44.85 | 112.25± 38.15 | 55.65± 17.33 |
| Total | 5557 (100) | 128.23±74.07 | 190.30± 39.42 | 110.64±33.25 | 54.15± 16.39 |
| P-Value Men Vs. Women | | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 |

The prevalence of dyslipidemia in all study participants was 33.6% (95%CI: 32.7-34.6), which was significantly higher in men than in women ($P<0.001$). The prevalence of high cholesterol, high LDL, low HDL, and high TG was 8.2% (95%CI: 7.6-8.7), 5.8% (95%CI: 5.3- 6.3), 20.1% (95%CI: 19.4- 20.8), and 13.31% (95%CI: 12.6- 13.9), respectively. The prevalence of low HDL and high

TG was higher in men than in women, and the prevalence of high total cholesterol and high LDL was significantly higher in women than in men ($P<0.001$). The prevalence of dyslipidemia by sex and age group is shown in Table 2. The mean fasting blood glucose (FBS) was 95.29 ± 33.57 mg/dL in subjects with dyslipidemia and 91.3 ± 26.92 mg/dL in healthy subjects ($p<0.001$).

Table 2. The prevalence of high total cholesterol, high LDL, low HDL and high triglyceride concentrations according to sex and age (%)

| Sex/ Age | Total | High triglycerides | High total cholesterol | High LDL cholesterol | Low HDL cholesterol | dyslipidemia |
|--------------------------|-------|--------------------|------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| Male | N | N (%) | N (%) | N (%) | N (%) | N (%) |
| 35-44 | 1846 | 333 (18) | 105 (5.7) | 75 (4.1) | 609 (33) | 820 (44.4) |
| 45-54 | 1378 | 233 (16.9) | 84 (6.1) | 61 (4.4) | 411 (29.8) | 572 (41.5) |
| 55-64 | 1096 | 110 (10) | 57 (5.2) | 51 (4.7) | 307 (28) | 401 (36.6) |
| ≥65 | 252 | 14 (5.6) | 17 (6.7) | 11 (4.4) | 50 (19.8) | 74 (29.4) |
| Total of men | 4572 | 690 (15.1) | 263 (5.8) | 198 (4.3) | 1377 (15.1) | 1867 (40.8) |
| Female | | | | | | |
| 35-44 | 2180 | 196 (9) | 129 (5.9) | 281 (12.9) | 83 (3.8) | 513 (23.5) |
| 45-54 | 1777 | 235 (13.2) | 199 (11.2) | 186 (10.5) | 135 (7.6) | 496 (27.9) |
| 55-64 | 1240 | 182 (14.7) | 188 (15.2) | 149 (12) | 137 (11) | 416 (33.5) |
| ≥65 | 360 | 45 (12.5) | 48 (13.3) | 42 (11.7) | 38 (10.6) | 115 (31.9) |
| Total of women | 5557 | 658 (11.8) | 564(10.1) | 393 (7.1) | 658 (11.8) | 1540 (27.7) |
| P-Value Men Vs. women | | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 |

The comparison of the prevalence of dyslipidemia in the strata of different variables is shown in Table 3. As can be seen, the prevalence of dyslipidemia is higher in married

subjects and lower in divorced subjects. It is most common in subjects with higher BMI. Dyslipidemia is also more common in alcoholics, opium users and smokers.

Table 3. Compare the prevalence of dyslipidemia based sex, marital status, age groups, BMI, smoking, opium use and alcohol consumption

| Variable | | Total | dyslipidemia N (%) | | P-Value |
|-------------------|---------|-------|-----------------------|------|---------|
| Sex | Male | 4572 | 1867 | 40.8 | <0.001 |
| | Female | 5557 | 1540 | 27.7 | |
| Marital status | Single | 373 | 111 | 29.8 | 0.046 |
| | Married | 9009 | 3062 | 34.0 | |
| | Widow | 645 | 210 | 32.6 | |
| | Diverse | 102 | 24 | 23.5 | |
| Age groups (year) | 35-44 | 4026 | 1333 | 33.1 | 0.211 |
| | 45-54 | 3155 | 1068 | 33.9 | |
| | 55-64 | 2336 | 817 | 35.0 | |
| | ≥65 | 612 | 189 | 30.9 | |

Dyslipidemia Prevalence and Risk Factors

| | | | | | |
|---------------------|-------------|------|------|------|--------|
| BMI | <18.49 | 583 | 111 | 19.0 | <0.001 |
| | 18.5- 24.99 | 4123 | 1194 | 29.0 | |
| | 25- 29.99 | 3625 | 1406 | 38.8 | |
| | ≥30 | 1775 | 686 | 38.6 | |
| Active smoking | Yes | 2734 | 1112 | 40.7 | <0.001 |
| | No | 7395 | 2295 | 31.0 | |
| Passive smoking | Yes | 1883 | 736 | 39.1 | <0.001 |
| | No | 8246 | 2671 | 32.4 | |
| Opium use | Yes | 2353 | 968 | 41.1 | <0.001 |
| | No | 7776 | 2439 | 31.4 | |
| Alcohol Consumption | Yes | 211 | 100 | 47.4 | <0.001 |
| | No | 9918 | 3307 | 33.3 | |

The results of the logistic regression are presented in Table 4. The variables sex, opium use, active smoking, FBS and BMI influence dyslipidemia. Men were 1.68 times more likely to have dyslipidemia than women. Opium and cigarette smokers were 1.15 and 1.36

times more likely to have dyslipidemia than non-smokers, respectively. Also, the higher the BMI, the greater the likelihood of dyslipidemia compared to the reference group (below 18.5), and subjects with a BMI above 30 have the highest rate of obesity.

Table 4. Logistic regression analysis of the relationship between different factors on dyslipidemia

| Influencing Factors | | β | OR | 95% CI | | P- Value |
|---------------------|-----------------|---------|-------|--------|-------|----------|
| | | | | Lower | Upper | |
| Gender | Male vs. female | 0.626 | 1.87 | 1.68 | 2.091 | <0.001 |
| Alcohol consumption | Yes Vs. No | 0.223 | 1.25 | .94 | 1.67 | .125 |
| Opium use | Yes Vs. No | 0.139 | 1.15 | 1.01 | 1.31 | .031 |
| Active Smoking | Yes Vs. No | 0.307 | 1.36 | 1.15 | 1.61 | <0.001 |
| Passive Smoking | Yes Vs. No | -0.162 | 0.85 | 0.72 | 1.01 | .060 |
| Age | 35-44 (Ref) | - | - | - | - | - |
| | 45-54 | 0.039 | 1.04 | .94 | 1.15 | .427 |
| | 55-64 | 0.104 | 1.11 | .99 | 1.24 | .062 |
| | ≥65 | | .97 | .81 | 1.18 | .796 |
| BMI | <18.49 (Ref) | | - | - | -- | |
| | 18.5- 24.99 | 0.774 | 2.17 | 1.74 | 2.72 | <0.001 |
| | 25- 29.99 | 1.376 | 3.96 | 3.16 | 4.97 | <0.001 |
| | ≥30 | 1.499 | 4.48 | 3.53 | 5.69 | <0.001 |
| FBS | | 0.005 | 1.005 | 1.003 | 1.006 | <0.001 |

Discussion

Dyslipidemia is considered to be highly significant and requires special attention due to the risk of atherosclerosis cardiovascular disease. This study focused on the prevalence of dyslipidemia and related factors in the Fasa Persian Cohort in Iran. In this study, the prevalence of dyslipidemia in the general population was 33.6% with prevalence of total hypercholesterolemia 8.2%, high LDL 5.8%, low HDL 20.1%, and hypertriglyceridemia 13.31%. Dyslipidemia was also more common in men than in women. According to a meta-analysis study in 2014, the prevalence of dyslipidemia is higher in men than in women in almost all studies in Iran, but in the southern coastal areas, Arak and Rasht in Iran, dyslipidemia is more common in women (26). The reason for this difference may be due to different lifestyle and dietary culture or physical activity. These factors may lead to different prevalence of dyslipidemia in different parts of the world (27). The global burden of dyslipidemia has increased over the past thirty years (28). Therefore, it is necessary to take measures to control dyslipidemia to reduce the burden of cardiovascular disease. This study showed that the prevalence of dyslipidemia in men is associated with high triglyceride levels. According to the results, triglyceride levels are higher in men than in women, especially in men aged 35-44 years. Of course, women also have high triglyceride levels by the age of 55. Consistent with this study, Karpov in Russia showed that men's triglyceride levels are 16.4% higher than women's. Based on this, it can be said that the prevalence of hypertriglyceridemia increases with age regardless of gender (29). In addition, this study showed low HDL levels in men, which is consistent with studies in various countries such as Japan, China, and Korea (30-32). Low HDL levels combined with high triglycerides or cholesterol may lead to lipid peroxidation and systemic inflammation (33, 34).

Several studies have reported an inverse relationship between TG and HDL (35, 36). Higher HDL levels in women may reduce mortality from cardiovascular disease (31). Elevated triglyceride levels are involved in the development and progression of atherosclerosis and may lead to myocardial infarction, stroke, and peripheral vascular disease (37). Significant increases in triglyceride levels can also lead to fatty liver disease and pancreatitis (38). A healthy lifestyle including proper diet, regular exercise, smoking cessation, and weight loss are some of the suggested strategies to reduce triglyceride levels (39). This study showed that the prevalence of dyslipidemia was higher in women than in men in terms of total cholesterol and LDL, which is consistent with various studies (32, 40). Pirillo reported in his study that in 2018 in different parts of the world, including Asia, Africa, Oceania, Europe, America, and Australia, the level of total cholesterol was higher in women than in men, and only in Central Europe was the level of total cholesterol equal in women and men (28). High LDL levels are the eighth leading risk of death in 2019, and more than one-third of deaths from ischemic heart disease and stroke are associated with high LDL levels in both developed and developing countries (41). This study identified several risk factors for dyslipidemia, including gender differences, body mass index, smoking, and opiate use. According to the results of this study, men are 1.68 times more likely to have dyslipidemia than women, which is similar to the results of some other studies. Results In a study conducted by Li Y et al. (2018) in China, men had a higher prevalence of dyslipidemia than women (30). In Wang's study, the prevalence of dyslipidemia in women was significantly higher in the entire study population, which is inconsistent with the results of our study (42). The reason for this difference may be that in Iran, the main consumers of health education and lipid management centers are women, and

men are less welcome to the programs of these centers.

According to the results of this study, subjects with a body mass index above 30 are more likely to have dyslipidemia. The results of some studies in different parts of the world are consistent with the results of this study (30, 42, 43). Gebreegziabiher reported in his study that dyslipidemia increased significantly with BMI. 79.3% of overweight subjects and 95.2% of obese subjects had dyslipidemia (43). In the study of Li Y et al., dyslipidemia was associated with problems such as obesity, abdominal obesity, and hypertension (30). In Wang's study, the risk of dyslipidemia was mainly associated with obesity in men and hypertension in women (42). Obesity has increased dramatically worldwide in recent decades and is a risk factor for cardiovascular disease, which may be caused by dyslipidemia (44).

This study showed that dyslipidemia was 1.36 times higher in smokers than in nonsmokers. Mouhamed et al (2013) showed in their study that smoking is associated with dyslipidemia and increased triglycerides and decreased HDL (45). Wang et al. (2021) showed that gender, smoking, central obesity, average daily salt intake, average daily oil intake, T2DM, hypertension and physical activity were associated with dyslipidemia (46). The negative effect of smoking is caused by increased insulin resistance and the profile of the disorder is similar to insulin resistance, increased TG and decreased HDL (47). Therefore, since smoking-induced dyslipidemia can be prevented and corrected, the development of educational programs in this regard, especially among young subjects, is essential.

This study showed that the odds of dyslipidemia increased 1.15 times with opium use. The results of some studies are consistent with the results of the present study and the relationship between opium addiction and dyslipidemia (48). Rahimi et al. reported a decrease in HDL levels in opium addicts in their study (49). However, some other studies reported no significant association between opium addiction and

dyslipidemia (50, 51). The hypocholesterolemia observed in opium addicts is attributed to the lack of healthy food because addicts spend their money on drugs instead of food (52). Therefore, there is a difference in the effect of opium on lipid indices in humans. Differences in opioid-induced dyslipidemia may be related to oral versus inhaled use and duration of use.

Strengths and Limitations

This was a study based on data from the Persian Fasa cohort in Iran, which examined several risk factors related to dyslipidemia such as gender, body mass index, smoking and opium. This study also has limitations. First, this cohort study included only rural residents and did not include the prevalence of dyslipidemia in urban residents. Second, the participants in this cohort study represent only about 20 percent of the total rural population of Fasa.

Conclusion

The prevalence of dyslipidemia in the community studied was reported to be high. Considering that the high prevalence of dyslipidemia can lead to serious and health-threatening complications, including cardiovascular complications, it is necessary for health system managers to make necessary plans to reduce the prevalence of dyslipidemia and improve the level of health. It is also necessary to make a policy to encourage men to use the services of health centers to maintain their health.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Fasa University of Medical Sciences & Clinical Research Development Unit of Fasa Valiasr Hospital for supporting this research. The authors would also like to thank all the interviewers for their work in collecting the survey data.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

This study was financially supported by Fasa University of Medical Sciences, Fasa, Iran (Grant No. 99176). The funding agency had no role in the design of the study, collection, analysis, and interpretation of the data, or preparation of the manuscript.

Ethical Considerations

Written informed consent was obtained from each participant to participate in the first phase of the cohort study. Participants were assured of anonymity and confidentiality of their information. The study protocol adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. The study protocol was approved by the National and Regional Ethics Committee of FUMS (code IR.FUMS.REC.1399.169) and the Research Board of Fasa University of Medical Sciences (number code: 99176).

Code of Ethics

IR.FUMS.REC.1399.169

Authors' Contributions

Study Design: AD, SHK, MB, MF, MRB and MS; Data collection: AD; Software: AD; Data analysis: MA and AD, SR; Writing (original draft): SHK, BS, AK and AD; Review and Editing: SHK, MB, AD, BS, And AK; Project administration: AD; All authors revised the manuscript for important intellectual content and approved the final version.

Data Availability Statement

The data that support the findings of this study are not publicly available but can be available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

List of Abbreviations

Body mass index (BMI), low density lipoprotein (LDL), High-density lipoproteins (HDL), Triglyceride (TG), food frequency questionnaire (FFQ), electrocardiography (ECG), adjusted Odds Ratio (AOR).

References

1. Wang HH, Lee DK, Liu M, Portincasa P, Wang DQ. Novel insights into the pathogenesis and management of the metabolic syndrome. *Pediatric gastroenterology, hepatology & nutrition*. 2020;23(3):189.
2. Ballantyne CM, Grundy SM, Oberman A, Kreisberg RA, Havel RJ, Frost PH, et al. Hyperlipidemia: diagnostic and therapeutic perspectives. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2000;85(6):2089-112.
3. Fredrickson DS. An international classification of hyperlipidemias and hyperlipoproteinemias. *Ann Intern Med*. 1971;75(3):471-2.
4. Vodnala D, Rubenfire M, Brook RD. Secondary causes of dyslipidemia. *Am J Cardiol*. 2012;110(6):823-5.
5. World Health Organization. Burden: Mortality, morbidity, and risk factors (accessed 4 November 2021). https://www.who.int/nmh/publications/ncd_report_chapter1.pdf.
6. AlMuhaidib S, AlBuhairan F, Tamimi W, AlDubayee M, AlAqeel A, Babiker A, et al. Prevalence and factors associated with dyslipidemia among adolescents in Saudi Arabia. *Sci Rep*. 2022;12(1):16888.
7. Ebrahimi H, Emamian MH, Hashemi H, Fotouhi A. Dyslipidemia and its risk factors among urban middle-aged Iranians: A population-based study. *Diabetes & Metabolic Syndrome: Clinical Research & Reviews*. 2016;10(3):149-56.
8. Rezaei M, Fakhri N, Pasdar Y, Moradinazar M, Najafi F. Modeling the risk factors for dyslipidemia and blood lipid indices: Ravansar cohort study. *Lipids in health and disease*. 2020;19(1):1-8.
9. Haile K, Timerga A. Dyslipidemia and Its Associated Risk Factors Among Adult Type-2 Diabetic Patients at Jimma University Medical Center, Jimma, Southwest Ethiopia. *Diabetes, Metabolic Syndrome and Obesity: Targets and Therapy*. 2020;13:4589.
10. Organization WH. Noncommunicable diseases country profiles. 2018. 2018.
11. Ama Moor VJ, Ndongo Amougou S, Ombotto S, Ntone F, Wouamba DE, Ngo Nonga B. Dyslipidemia in patients with a cardiovascular risk and disease at the University Teaching Hospital of Yaoundé, Cameroon. *International journal of vascular medicine*. vol. 2017, Article ID 6061306. 1-5.
12. Benjamin EJ, Blaha MJ, Chiuve SE, Cushman M, Das SR, Deo R, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics-2017 update: a report from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2017;135(10):e146-e603.
13. de Suivi OCGM. Comprenant des Indicateurs, et Série de Cibles Mondiales Volontaires Pour la Lutte Contre les Maladies non Transmissibles. Geneva, Switzerland: OMS. 2012.
14. Bitzur R, Cohen H, Kamari Y, Shaish A, Harats D. Triglycerides and HDL cholesterol: stars or second leads in diabetes? *Diabetes care*. 2009;32(2):S373-S7.

15. Howard BV, Robbins DC, Sievers ML, Lee ET, Rhoades D, Devereux RB, et al. LDL cholesterol as a strong predictor of coronary heart disease in diabetic individuals with insulin resistance and low LDL: the Strong Heart Study. *Arteriosclerosis, thrombosis, and vascular biology*. 2000;20(3):830-5.
16. Sirimarco G, Labreuche J, Bruckert E, Goldstein LB, Fox KM, Rothwell PM, et al. Atherogenic dyslipidemia and residual cardiovascular risk in statin-treated patients. *Stroke*. 2014;45(5):1429-36.
17. Hedayatnia M, Asadi Z, Zare-Feyzabadi R, Yaghooti-Khorasani M, Ghazizadeh H, Ghaffarian-Zirak R, et al. Dyslipidemia and cardiovascular disease risk among the MASHAD study population. *Lipids in health and disease*. 2020;19(1):1-11.
18. Versmissen J, Oosterveer DM, Yazdanpanah M, Defesche JC, Basart DC, Liem AH, et al. Efficacy of statins in familial hypercholesterolaemia: a long term cohort study. *Bmj*. 2008;337:a2423.
19. Frank AT, Zhao B, Jose PO, Azar KM, Fortmann SP, Palaniappan LP. Racial/ethnic differences in dyslipidemia patterns. *Circulation*. 2014;129(5):570-9.
20. Pu J, Romanelli R, Zhao B, Azar KM, Hastings KG, Nimbal V, et al. Dyslipidemia in special ethnic populations. *Cardiology clinics*. 2015;33(2):325-33.
21. Wu Y, Ding Y, Tanaka Y, Zhang W. Risk factors contributing to type 2 diabetes and recent advances in the treatment and prevention. *International journal of medical sciences*. 2014;11(11):1185.
22. Fogacci F, Borghi C, Cicero AF. Diets, Foods and Food Components' Effect on Dyslipidemia. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute; 2021.
23. Azizi F, Rahmani M, Ghanbarian A, Emami H, Salehi P, Mirmiran P, et al. Serum lipid levels in an Iranian adults population: Tehran Lipid and Glucose Study. *European journal of epidemiology*. 2003;18(4):311-9.
24. Homayounfar R, Farjam M, Bahramali E, Sharafi M, Poustchi H, Malekzadeh R, et al. Cohort Profile: The Fasa Adults Cohort Study (FACS): a prospective study of non-communicable diseases risks. *International Journal of Epidemiology*. 2023;52(3):e172-8.
25. Poustchi H, Egtesad S, Kamangar F, Etemadi A, Keshtkar A-A, Hekmatdoost A, et al. Prospective epidemiological research studies in Iran (the PERSIAN Cohort Study): rationale, objectives, and design. *American journal of epidemiology*. 2018;187(4):647-55.
26. Tabatabaei-Malazy O, Qorbani M, Samavat T, Sharifi F, Larijani B, Fakhrazadeh H. Prevalence of dyslipidemia in Iran: a systematic review and meta-analysis study. *International journal of preventive medicine*. 2014;5(4):373.
27. Enkhmaa B, Surampudi P, Anuurad E, Berglund L. Lifestyle changes: Effect of diet, exercise, functional food, and obesity treatment on lipids and lipoproteins. *Endotext [Internet]*. 2018.
28. Pirillo A, Casula M, Olmastroni E, Norata GD, Catapano AL. Global epidemiology of dyslipidaemias. *Nature Reviews Cardiology*. 2021:1-12.
29. Karpov Y, Khomitskaya Y. PROMETHEUS: an observational, cross-sectional, retrospective study of hypertriglyceridemia in Russia. *Cardiovascular diabetology*. 2015;14(1):1-14.
30. Li Y, Zhao L, Yu D, Ding G. The prevalence and risk factors of dyslipidemia in different diabetic progression stages among middle-aged and elderly populations in China. *PLoS One*. 2018;13(10):e0205709.
31. Kim HJ, Park HA, Cho YG, Kang JH, Kim KW, Kang JH, et al. Gender difference in the level of HDL cholesterol in Korean adults. *Korean journal of family medicine*. 2011;32(3):173.
32. Sun G-Z, Li Z, Guo L, Zhou Y, Yang H-M, Sun Y-X. High prevalence of dyslipidemia and associated risk factors among rural Chinese adults. *Lipids in health and disease*. 2014;13(1):1-11.
33. Fentoglu O, Bozkurt FY. The bi-directional relation ship between periodontal disease and hyperlipidemia. *European journal of Dentistry*. 2008;2(02):142-9.
34. Ito F, Ito T. High-Density Lipoprotein (HDL) triglyceride and oxidized HDL: new lipid biomarkers of lipoprotein-related atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease. *Antioxidants*. 2020;9(5):362.
35. Hansel B, Kontush A, Giral P, Bonnefont-Rous selot D, John Chapman M, Bruckert E. One third of the variability in HDL-cholesterol level in a large dyslipidaemic population is predicted by age, sex and triglyceridaemia: The Paris La Pitié Study. *Current medical research and opinion*. 2006;22(6):1149-60.
36. Kolovou GD, Anagnostopoulou KK, Damaskos DS, Bilianou HI, Mihos C, Milionis HJ, et al. Gender differences in the lipid profile of dyslipidemic subjects. *European journal of internal medicine*. 2009;20(2):145-51.
37. Peng J, Luo F, Ruan G, Peng R, Li X. Hypertri glyceridemia and atherosclerosis. *Lipids in health and disease*. 2012.
38. Mosztbacher D, Hanák L, Farkas N, Szentesi A, Mikó A, Bajor J, et al. Hypertriglyceridemia-induced acute pancreatitis: A prospective, multicenter, international cohort analysis of 716 acute pancreatitis cases. *Pancreatology*. 2020;20(4):608-16.
39. Simha V. Management of hypertriglyceridemia. *Bmj*. 2020;371.
40. Rinkūnienė E, Laucevičius A, Petrulionienė Ž, Dženkevičiūtė V, Kutkienė S, Skujaitė A, et al. The prevalence of dislipidemia and its relation to other risk factors: a nationwide survey of Lithuania. *Clinical Lipidology*. 2015;10(3):219-25.
41. Global Health Data Exchange. GBD results tool. Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation2021.

42. Wang M, Liu M, Li F, Guo C, Liu Z, Pan Y, et al. Gender heterogeneity in dyslipidemia prevalence, trends with age and associated factors in middle age rural Chinese. *Lipids in health and disease*. 2020;19(1):1-11.
43. Gebreegziabiher G, Belachew T, Mehari K, Tamiru D. Prevalence of dyslipidemia and associated risk factors among adult residents of Mekelle City, Northern Ethiopia. *PLoS One*. 2021;16(2):e0243103.
44. Feingold KR. Obesity and dyslipidemia. *Endotext* [Internet]. 2020.
45. Mouhamed DH, Ezzaher A, Neffati F, Gaha L, Douki W, Najjar M. Association between cigarette smoking and dyslipidemia. *Immuno-analyse & Biologie Spécialisée*. 2013;28(4):195-200.
46. Wang X, Pan J, Ren Z, Zhai M, Zhang Z, Ren H, et al. Application of a novel hybrid algorithm of Bayesian network in the study of hyperlipidemia related factors: a cross-sectional study. *BMC public health*. 2021;21(1):1-4.
47. Ormazabal V, Nair S, Elfeky O, Aguayo C, Salomon C, Zúñiga FA. Association between insulin resistance and the development of cardiovascular disease. *Cardiovascular diabetology*. 2018;17(1):1-14.
48. Salman TM, El Zahaby MM, Mansour OA, Omran GA, Gomma S, Gad HS. Oxidative stress and lipotoxicity of bhang and opium addiction. Effects on adrenal gland secretions. *Dyn Biochem Proc Biotechnol Mol Biol*. 2010;4:50-4.
49. Rahimi N, Gozashti MH, Najafipour H, Shokoohi M, Marefati H. Potential effect of opium consumption on controlling diabetes and some cardiovascular risk factors in diabetic patients. *Addiction & health*. 2014;6(1-2):1.
50. Afarinesh MR, Haghpanah T, Divsalar K, Dehyadegary E, Shaikh-Aleslami A, Mahmoodi M. Changes in serum biochemical factors associated with opium addiction after addiction desertion. *Addiction & health*. 2014;6(3-4):138.
51. Sanli DB, Bilici R, Suner O, Citak S, Kartkaya K, Mutlu FS. Effect of different psychoactive substances on serum biochemical parameters. *International journal of high risk behaviors & addiction*. 2015;4(2):12.
52. Cherubin CE. The medical sequelae of narcotic addiction. *Annals of Internal Medicine*. 1967;67(1):23-33.